

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,362	09/676,362 09/29/2000		Donald J.K. Olgado	2601/P4/ISIM/COPPER/SB	2179
32588	7590	06/27/2003			
APPLIED		•	EXAMINER		
2881 SCOT SANTA CL				WOOD, KIM	IBERLY T
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3632	
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-							
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0.00	09/676,362	OLGADO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kimberly T. Wood	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become.	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 February 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16 and 18-21</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11, 14-16, 18-21</u> is/are reje	ected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	,						
Applicant may not request that any objection	• , ,						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12). The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	C Examiner.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	roigh phonty under 00 0.0.0	. 3 110(a) (a) 61 (i).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	nents have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has	been received.					
Attachment(s)		55					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>9</u> . of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3632

This is the third office action for serial number 09/676,362, entitled Stable Cell Platform, filed in response to Amendment after Final filed on February 18, 2003.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's after final amendment has been entered into the application. The finality of the last office action is withdrawn based on the indicated allowability of claims 8-11, 14-16 and 20 which is withdrawn in view of the discovered reference(s) to Sheldon et al., Sugata, Robbins, and Zollinger. Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362

Art Unit: 3632

invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugata 5,988,959. Sugata discloses a lower mainframe (lower 6a), a upper mainframe (upper 6a) including a plurality of recesses (the spaces between upper 6a), a plurality of supporting members (6b) comprising a dampening means being a dampening element being sand (column 6, lines 30) disposed between upper and lower mainframe, fastening structure or means (2). The examiner has determined that the processing cells are only functionally recited and are not positively claimed limitations of the claims therefore, Sugata meets the limitations of claims 4-6 since the upper mainframe

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

(upper 6a) is capable of supporting a process cell, a metroloty cell, or a SRD cell.

Claims 8, 10, 11, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheldon et al. (Sheldon) 5,354,158.

Sheldon discloses a a lower mainframe (30), an upper mainframe (between element planar members (near) 34 and 31) including a plurality of recesses (the spaces between the spoke-like arms extending from the upper mainframe element 31), a plurality of support members (40-45 corresponding to 20-25) comprising hollow tubular members and pistons (column 5, lines 5ff), a dampening element (hydualic fluid). The examiner has determined that the processing cells are only functionally recited and are not positively claimed limitations of the claims therefore, Sheldon meets the limitations of claims 4-6 since the upper mainframe (upper 6a) is capable of supporting a process cell, a metroloty cell, or a SRD cell.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362

Art Unit: 3632

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hussman 2,064,751 in view of Sugata 5,988,959. Hussman discloses a lower mainframe (30), a upper mainframe (28 and 20), comprising a rigidifying plate (28) with an aperture (near 27), a main base plate with plurality of recesses (22), a plurality of supporting members (bellow units), a fastener structure (84). Hussman discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the supporting member a dampening means comprising a dampening element being sand. Sugata discloses a plurality of supporting members comprising a dampening means comprising a dampening element being sand (column 6, lines 28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Hussman to have included the sand as taught by Sugata for the purpose of providing a suitable dampening means. The modification of Hussman to include sand as taught by Sugata is motivated or suggested on page 2, column 2, lines 59, "the space 94 may also be filled with rubber, various solids, and various mixtures of solids and liquids" which leads the examiner to believe that sand (a solid) could be substituted Application/Control Number: 09/676,362 Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

within the bellow units (snubbers, shock absorbers, vibration dampeners) as a dampening element.

Claims 9, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Sheldon 5,354,158 in view of Zollinger 3,932,009. Sheldon discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the sand. Zollinger teaches that it is known to have a lower mainframe (54); a upper mainframe (42); a plurality of supporting members comprising a hollow tubular member (51), piston (64), and a dampening means being sand (disclosed by the cross hatch). The dampening means is disclosed as a lubricant (68). The definitions of a lubricant is a substance capable of reducing friction, heat, wear when introduced as a film between solid surfaces; something that lessens or prevents friction or difficulty. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sheldon to have substituted the sand as the lubricant since such a modification is old and well known in the art and would not produce any unexpected results or destroy the invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362 Page 7

Art Unit: 3632

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not disclose a platform to support a cell comprising a lower mainframe; an upper mainframe including a plurality of recesses and a fastener structure positioned proximate each one of the recesses; a damper system comprising a plurality of support members extending between the lower mainframe and upper mainframe, each support member comprising a hollow tubular member, a piston slidably disposed within the lower tubular member, a dampening element contained within the hollow tubular member, wherein the piston is biased against the dampening element or the upper mainframe comprising a rigidifying plate with at least one aperture and a main base plate comprising the plurality of recesses.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Wood whose telephone number is (703) 308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. The fax number for an Official Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9326. The fax number for an Official After Final Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9327.

Kimberly Wood Primary Examiner June 19, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 8